

Dear Joshua,

I am showering letters on you. This is to let you know that corrections have been approved, and there may be room for some more, since a second proof will be available, so that if something else comes to your mind, there may be time for dealing with it. Incidentally, Hayes has done nothing about altering lines 21-29, p. 9 of your manuscript. Is it essential? The last paragraph has been completely deleted, and only segmental elimination is standing as interpretation.

I have been working all this time to inheritance of F^r , but have not yet concluded. I am not ~~greatly~~ much pleased with the results, but shall write with more detail when more solid conclusions will be available, which I hope will be true in a short time. The SAT₁ crossing is not entirely satisfactory, probably because the conc. of azide is very critical. I am developing other methods.

Yours

1 Dec, 1952.